



Australian Government
**Australian Pesticides and
Veterinary Medicines Authority**



**APVMA Regulator
Performance Framework
2017–18**

November 2017

© Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority 2017

ISBN 978-1-922188-30-4 (electronic)

Ownership of intellectual property rights in this publication

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights, if any) in this publication is owned by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA).

Creative Commons licence

With the exception of the Coat of Arms and other elements specifically identified, this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence. This is a standard form agreement that allows you to copy, distribute, transmit and adapt this publication provided that you attribute the work.



A summary of the licence terms is available from www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/deed.en. The full licence terms are available from www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode.

The APVMA's preference is that you attribute this publication (and any approved material sourced from it) using the following wording:

Source: Licensed from the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence.

In referencing this document the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority should be cited as the author, publisher and copyright owner.

Use of the Coat of Arms

The terms under which the Coat of Arms can be used are set out on the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet website (see www.dpmc.gov.au/guidelines).

Comments and enquiries regarding copyright:

The Manager, Public Affairs
Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority
PO Box 6182
KINGSTON ACT 2604 Australia

Telephone: +61 2 6210 4701

Email: communications@apvma.gov.au

This publication is available from the APVMA website: www.apvma.gov.au.

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	4
1.1	About the APVMA	4
1.2	The Australian Government Regulator Performance Framework	4
1.3	The APVMA Performance Framework	4
2	PERFORMANCE MEASURES	5
3	MEASUREMENT, ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING	8
	APPENDIX A - STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION	10
	ABBREVIATIONS	11
	GLOSSARY	11

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 About the APVMA

The Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) is an independent statutory authority responsible for the assessment and registration of agricultural chemicals and veterinary medicines (agvet chemicals) and for their regulation up to and including the point of retail sale. The APVMA evaluates the safety and performance of agvet chemicals intended for sale in Australia to ensure that the health and safety of people, animals, crops and the environment are protected and that Australia's international trade is not jeopardised through the use of the chemicals.

The APVMA is established under the *Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals (Administration) Act 1992*.

1.2 The Australian Government Regulator Performance Framework

The Australian Government Regulator Performance Framework (RPF) was developed to 'encourage regulators to undertake their functions with the minimum impact necessary to achieve regulatory objectives and to effect positive ongoing and lasting cultural change within regulators'¹.

The RPF contains six indicators against which regulators are to measure their performance:

- regulators do not unnecessarily impede the efficient operation of regulated entities
- communication with regulated entities is clear, targeted and effective
- actions undertaken by regulators are proportionate to the regulatory risk being managed
- compliance and monitoring approaches are streamlined and coordinated
- regulators are open and transparent in dealing with regulated entities
- regulators actively contribute to the continuous improvement of regulatory frameworks.

1.3 The APVMA Performance Framework

The APVMA Performance Framework (the framework) sets out how the APVMA intends to measure and report its performance against the RPF in 2017–18. It contains performance measures against each key indicator as well as the evidence that will be collected to demonstrate performance. These measures have remained unchanged since the framework was originally developed for the 2015–16 reporting period.

¹ Regulator Performance Framework (2014), p4

2 PERFORMANCE MEASURES

RPF Key performance indicator	Performance measures	Evidence
1. Unnecessary impediments to the efficient operation of regulated entities are removed.	1. Demonstrated understanding of the operating environment for the regulated entities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Four stakeholder forums held each year to discuss issues affecting regulated entities B. Three industry information and training seminars delivered each year C. Four industry awareness workshops conducted for APVMA staff each year D. Environmental scan published annually
	2. International data guidelines, standards, assessments adopted to reduce effort to register agvet chemicals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Demonstrated application of the policy for use of international standards, guidelines, assessments and decisions B. Participation in Global Joint Reviews C. 100% of relevant international standards adopted for new chemical products and chemical review decisions D. Documented justification for when international standards and guidelines are not adopted E. Number of applications using data assessments, standards and decisions from comparable regulators
	3. Efficient and effective APVMA business processes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Satisfaction with APVMA on-line systems for submitting and managing applications B. Regulatory decisions are completed within timeframes C. Reduction in average decision time for applications by item D. Proportion of assessment done by external scientific reviewers
2. Communication with regulated entities is clear, targeted and effective.	1. Level of satisfaction with information and guidance materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Feedback from stakeholders about the quality of guidance material B. 100% of website content is reviewed by the nominated review date C. Usage of the APVMA website D. Number of subscribers to the APVMA Regulatory Update E. Website meets relevant Government online and accessibility standards

RPF Key performance indicator	Performance measures	Evidence
	2. Level of satisfaction with the quality and timeliness of advice on decisions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Feedback about the quality of pre-application assistance B. Customer service standards met C. 100% of correspondence provided to applicants and registrants assessed as comprehensive and easily understood
	3. Extent and satisfaction with APVMA consultative processes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 100% of new or major changes to operational policies or guidelines provided to relevant stakeholders for consultation prior to finalisation B. Feedback from key industry stakeholders about the quality of significant APVMA consultation
3. Actions undertaken by regulators are proportionate to the regulatory risk being managed.	1. Risk management frameworks and policies are in place and regularly reassessed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Risk framework applied to registration decision making is accessible to regulated entities B. Documented compliance and enforcement strategy, including options for graduated compliance C. Risk frameworks reviewed every three years
	2. Lower regulatory effort is applied to activities of lower regulatory risk.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 100% of applications assessed as low regulatory risk processed according to risk-based assessment framework tools and mechanisms B. Documented approaches in place to review level of regulatory effort applied to agvet chemical registration and approval
	3. Compliance and enforcement strategies are consistent with agreed risk management policies.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Compliance and enforcement strategy accessible to regulated entities B. 100% of allegations of non-compliance assessed and/or investigated according to APVMA Compliance and Enforcement Strategy C. Documented policy for determining GMP audit schedules accessible by regulated entities
4. Compliance and monitoring approaches are streamlined and coordinated.	1. Monitoring and enforcement strategies allow for a range of regulatory responses.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 100% of allegations of non-compliance are risk-assessed and prioritised within five working days B. Usage of compliance tools
	2. Compliance activities are responsive to business needs of regulated entities, where relevant.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. 100% audit and inspection schedules designed to minimise overlap with audits from other government regulators B. Evidence of compliance activities conducted jointly with other regulators

RPF Key performance indicator	Performance measures	Evidence
	3. Information requested from regulated entities is necessary and acted upon.	<p>A. Average number of formal requests for information (including notices) provided for each registration application</p> <p>B. Average number of compliance related requests for information (including coercive notices) for each compliance action involving interaction with a regulated entity</p>
5. Regulators are open and transparent in their dealings with regulated entities.	1. Performance information is published.	<p>A. Timeframe performance statistics published quarterly</p> <p>B. Performance against customer service standards published quarterly</p> <p>C. Performance against regulator performance framework published annually</p> <p>D. 100% of decisions to approve or register an agvet chemical published within 10 working days of decision being made</p>
	2. Feedback mechanisms are in place and used to improve service to regulated entities.	<p>A. Demonstrated processes to collect stakeholder feedback</p> <p>B. 100% of feedback received through the on-line feedback system is assessed within five working days</p> <p>C. Number of internal reviews and Administrative Appeals Tribunal matters relating to the APVMA</p>
6. Regulators actively contribute to the continuous improvement of regulatory frameworks.	1. Level of stakeholder engagement in implementing regulatory frameworks.	<p>A. Documented stakeholder consultation procedures in place</p> <p>B. 100% of significant changes to APVMA regulatory frameworks involve stakeholder consultation</p>
	2. Feedback is provided to inform the development or amendment of regulatory frameworks.	A. Documented procedures in place to facilitate engagement with the Department of Agriculture and Water Resources, and relevant state and territory agencies

3 MEASUREMENT, ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING

APVMA will complete a self-assessment against this performance framework with input collected from clients and stakeholders prior to certification by the Chief Executive Officer and then provision to the Minister for Agriculture for approval.

The final performance report against the framework will be published in accordance with the guidelines specified in the Australian Government Regulator Performance Framework.



APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A – STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

In developing the framework and metrics of assessment APVMA consulted with the following stakeholders:

Accord Australasia

Plastics and Chemicals Industries Association

Australian Veterinary Association

Animal Medicines Australia Ltd

Swimming Pool and Spa Association of Australia

Plant Health Australia

Veterinary Manufacturers and Distributors Association

Pet Food Industry Association of Australia

Animal Health Australia

CropLife Australia

Aerosol Association of Australia

Australian Paint Manufacturers' Federation

National Farmers' Federation

State and Territory Partners in the National Registration Scheme

Department of Agriculture and Water Resources

ABBREVIATIONS

APVMA	Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority
GMP	Good Manufacturer Practice
RPF	Regulator Performance Framework

GLOSSARY

agvet	Agricultural chemicals and veterinary medicines
-------	---
